United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

	United States of America
	v.)) Case No. 5:16-MJ-1143-JG
CA	ARLOS HUMBERTO ZAPATA-ANTUNEZ)
	Defendant)
	DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts hat the defendant be detained pending trial.
	Part I—Findings of Fact
\Box (1) \Box	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
1	of \square a federal offense \square a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal
	jurisdiction had existed - that is
	□ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.
	☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in
	*
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses:
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:
	□ a minor victim
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
	□ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction the defendant's release
	from prison for the offense described in finding (1).
□ (4)	Findings Nos. (1) , (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
	Alternative Findings (A)
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
	\square for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in .
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption est the defendant's appearance and the safety of the control of		will reasonably assure
	Alternative :	Findings (B)	
1 (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not	appear.	
Y (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will end	anger the safety of another person or th	e community.
Ţ	Part II— Statement of the Life of the testimony and information submitted a		☑ clear and
convincii	I find that the testimony and information submitted a ing evidence \Box a preponderance of the evidence ased on the defendant's waiver of his/her right to a detention imposed which would reasonably assure the defendant's	that on hearing, there is no condition or combinat	tion of conditions, that car
1 1	or the reasons indicated below there is no condition, or comsure the defendant's appearance and/or safety of another The nature of the charges The apparent strength of the government's case The indication of substance abuse The defendant's criminal history Other:	·	·
	Part III—Directions	Regarding Detention	
in a corre pending a order of U	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, fr appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonab United States Court or on request of an attorney for the liver the defendant to the United States marshal for a	om persons awaiting or serving sentence le opportunity to consult privately with ne Government, the person in charge of t	ces or held in custody defense counsel. On
Date: Fe	Sebruary 18, 2016	Robert T Mage's signature	mkest
		viage s signam	-

Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge
Printed name and title